

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT

REMARKS

Reference is made to the Examiner's objections in numbered paragraphs 1 to 5 of the Office Action. Applicant's response to each objection is addressed in corresponding numbered sections below.

1. In response to the Examiner's objection under 35 U.S.C. 101, claims 1 to 22 have been amended to specify that the method is a computer-implemented method and hence one that cannot be manually done.

Claims 1-2 and 15-16 have been amended to include the step of classifying the Internet object using coefficients computed in earlier steps. The classifying of Internet objects is a practical application of the technological art. The claims must be read in light of the disclosure which sets out the practical application of the invention claimed. For example, on page 1, first paragraph, of the specification in one embodiment of the invention, Internet objects are classified on the basis of Adult content. One practical application of such a classification is in restricting access to adult content on computer readable media (specification, p.4).

It is submitted that based on the above noted amendments, claims 1-2 and 15-16 are now allowable.

2. It is submitted that in light of the amendments to claims 1-2 and 15-16, dependent claims 3-14 and 17-22 are now allowable.
3. Trademarks in the specification have been capitalized.
4. The abstract has been shortened.
5. The symbol "http://" in front of a URL address has been deleted from the specification.

Yours truly,



Eugene E. Derényi
Reg. No. 52,409